COURT FEE ₹0 Rs. 20 भारत INDIA बीस रुपये TWENTY RUPEES CANCELLED

COURT FEE ₹0 Rs. 20 भारत INDIA बीस रुपये TWENTY RUPEES

(3)

(1)

Copy

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 528 Of 2003.

PETITIONER :- Fulmat Bai, wife of Dukhit Ram, aged about 30 years, Sarpanch, Village Gadadih, Tahsil Dhamda, District Durg (C.G)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :-

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Panchayat and rural Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
2. Union of India, Through the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Parliament Street, New Delhi,
3. Additional Collector, Collectorate building, G.E. Road, Durg (Chhattisgarh),
4. State of M.P., Through the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P).

P. R. No. 393/03
Presented by Shri Pankaj Agrawal
dated 01.03.03

Ashutosh Singh Caharwar
Advocate High Court
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
Opp. Bihari Talkies, High Court Road,
BILASPUR (Chhattisgarh)
Ph./Fax (07752) 36111, 66811, 25706

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक W.P. No. 528/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	DB: Hon'ble Justice K. H. N. Kuranga, C.J. **& Hon'ble Justice L. C. Bhadoo, J.** **15/10/2003** Petitioner by Shri H. B. Agarwal, Advocate. Respondents No. 1 and 3 by Shri Sunil Sinha, Additional Advocate General. By this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India the petitioner has challenged the constitutional validity and the vires of Section 36 (1)(m) of the Chhattisgarh Panchayat Raj Avam Gram Swaraj Adhiniyam, 1993 (for short 'the Adhiniyam) which lays down that no person shall be eligible to be an office-bearer of the Panchayat who has more than two living children one of whom is born on or after 26th day of January, 2001. The challenge to the above clause does not survive in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Javed and others Vs. State of Haryana and others reported in 2003 AIR SCW 3892** and also in view of the order passed by this Court in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Sahu Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another passed in Writ Petition No. 463 of 2002.** 12.09.03	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 528/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

The petitioner has also challenged the order of removal passed by the Additional Collector, Durg dated 24th December 2002. The petitioner has efficacious alternative remedy to file an appeal before the Director of Panchayat on the factual grounds against the order passed by the Collector.

In view of the above there is no force in the petition of the petitioner, the same is liable to be dismissed and it is dismissed. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file appeal before the Director of Panchayat against the order dated 24th December, 2002 by which she has been declared disqualified to continue as office bearer of the Village Panchayat Gadadeeh, Tehsil- Dhamdha, District-Durg. The petitioner will be entitled to the benefit of the limitation for the time spent by her in litigating before this Court in this writ proceeding.

The parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

[पीछे देखिये